

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/640,037	09/640,037 08/16/2000		Daniel Schutzer	CITI0178	3173	
27510	7590	09/24/2003				
		KTON LLP	EXAMINER			
607 14TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 900				GREENE, DANIEL L		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3621		
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/640,037	SCHUTZER, DANIEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel L. Greene	3621 Y
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a report within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	August 2003 .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	•	• •
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13,15,37 and 39</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from considera	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12,14, 16-36,38,40-44</u> is/are rejecte	ed.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	-···	• •
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		sapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 50 0.0.0. 3	115(4) (4) 51 (1).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		olication No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the list of the	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has bee	en received.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s)	c priority under 30 U.S.C. §	13 120 anu/01 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "Background" section) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that the references do not teach or suggest that when the information about a consumer is different between the first times they registered and the second time they register, to update the consumer's information/data. Or, if the data on a consumer were different for the current transaction

Art Unit: 3621

form the last transaction, the merchant would update their records/data concerning the customer. The primary reference, Mitra '878, teaches that the billing device and the seller continuously check and maintain current information regarding the consumer. The examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to compare past consumer data with present consumer data and make changes to data that is out of date or changed since it is known in the art that consumers move, change accounts, etc. that merchants must be current with to insure proper billing, delivery and service.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15, 20-39 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitra et al. US20010014878 [Mitra], and further in view of Paltenghe et al. US 20010011250 [Paltenghe].

As per Claim 1.

Mitra discloses:

Art Unit: 3621

receiving an indication that an Internet user wishes to finalize a transaction with a web merchant; Col. 3,para. 0041

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the term "electronic wallet".

Paltenghe teaches that an ""electronic wallet" is a virtual container for the various information and financial application a user might want to be mobile. Although not required, one example of an implementation of the "wallet" is through the use of "smart card" technology of the type well known to those of ordinary skill in the art" Col.3, Para.

27. As taught by Paltenghe, the terminology "electronic wallet" is well known in the art and not to be considered as a unique or original limitation in associated claims. The examiner considers the Applicants use of the term "electronic wallet" to mean a virtual container of information resident on a user's computer to provide and receive information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to associate "electronic wallet" with blocks of data that could be transmitted and received for conducting business.

presenting a first electronic wallet associated with the web merchant; Col.4, Para.

automatically receiving in the first electronic wallet updated consumer information from a second electronic wallet associated with the Internet user. Col.5, Para. 0061

Art Unit: 3621

As per Claim 2.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the first electronic wallet resides on a web server associated with the merchant. Col.2, para.0025

As per Claim 3.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the second electronic wallet resides on a personal computer associated with the Internet user. Col. 2, Para. 0025.

As per Claim 4.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the second electronic wallet resides on a web server. Col.2, Para. 0025.

As per Claim 5.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the web server is associated with a third party. Col. 2, Para. 0026.

As per Claim 6.

Mitra further discloses:

wherein the second electronic wallet comprises an application residing on the personal computer. Col. 2, Para. 0025.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 7.

Mitra does not expressly teach where the first electronic wallet comprises the preferred electronic wallet of the merchant. The term "preferred" is deemed to be a nonfunctional descriptive term that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way the electronic wallet is used and does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 217 USPQ 401 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate the merchant's electronic wallet any thing they wanted because such data does not alter how the electronic wallet functions and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

As per claim 8.

Mitra does not expressly teach where the first electronic wallet comprises the exclusive electronic wallet of the merchant. The term "exclusive" is deemed to be a non-functional descriptive term that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way the electronic wallet is used and does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability; see In re Gulack, 703

Art Unit: 3621

F.2d 1381, 217 USPQ 401 (Fed. Cir. 1983); in re Lowry, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate the merchant's electronic wallet any thing they wanted because such data does not alter how the electronic wallet functions and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

As per Claim 9.

Mitra further discloses:

identifying the Internet user. Col. 2, Para. 0030.

As per Claim 10.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the step of identifying the Internet user comprises accessing a cookie present in the personal computer. Col. 5, Para. 0060.

As per Claim 11.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the step of identifying the Internet user comprises receiving a user name and password associated with the Internet user. Col. 6, Para 0067.

Art Unit: 3621

As per Claim 12.

Mitra further discloses;

accessing stored consumer data associated with the Internet user previously provided by the Internet user. Col. 6, Para. 0067.

As per Claim 14.

Mitra further discloses;

populating the first electronic wallet with the stored consumer data. Col.7, Para. 0071.

As per Claim 20.

Mitra further discloses;

communicating a request for payment from a financial payment engine. Col. 3, Para. 0041, 0042.

As per Claim 21.

Mitra discloses:

identifying an Internet consumer accessing a web site of a merchant; Col. 3,

Para. 0041

accessing consumer data associated with the Internet consumer previously provided by the Internet consumer and stored in a database; Col.6, Para. 0067 receiving an indication that the Internet consumer wishes to finalize payment associated

Art Unit: 3621

with a web site transaction at the web site of the merchant; Col.3, Para.0041 Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the term "electronic wallet". Paltenghe teaches that an ""electronic wallet" is a virtual container for the various information and financial application a user might want to be mobile. Although not required, one example of an implementation of the "wallet" is through the use of "smart card" technology of the type well known to those of ordinary skill in the art" Col.3, Para. 27. As taught by Paltenghe, the terminology "electronic wallet" is well known in the art and not to be considered as a unique or original limitation in associated claims. The examiner considers the Applicants use of the term "electronic wallet" to mean a virtual container of information resident on a user's computer to provide and receive information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to associate "electronic wallet" with blocks of data that could be transmitted and received for conducting business.

presenting a first electronic wallet having the consumer data associated with the Internet consumer populated in the first electronic wallet; Col. 7, Para. 0071. automatically receiving in the first electronic wallet updated consumer information from a second electronic wallet, wherein the second electronic wallet comprises an electronic wallet on the consumer's computer having the updated consumer information. Col. 5, Para. 0061.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 22.

Mitra does not expressly teach where the first electronic wallet comprises the preferred electronic wallet of the merchant. The term "preferred" is deemed to be a nonfunctional descriptive term that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way the electronic wallet is used and does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 217 USPQ 401 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F. 3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate the merchant's electronic wallet any thing they wanted because such data does not alter how the electronic wallet functions and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

As per claim 23.

Mitra does not expressly teach where the first electronic wallet comprises the exclusive electronic wallet of the merchant. The term "exclusive" is deemed to be a non-functional descriptive term that cannot exhibit any functional interrelationship with the way the electronic wallet is used and does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see !n re Gulack, 703

Art Unit: 3621

F.2d 1381, 217 USPQ 409 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F. 3d ~ 579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Clr. 9994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate the merchant's electronic wallet any thing they wanted because such data does not alter how the electronic wallet functions and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

As per Claim 24.

Mitra further discloses;

communicating a request for payment from a financial payment engine. Col. 3, Para. 0041, 0042.

As per Claim 25.

Mitra discloses;

means for receiving an indication that an Internet user wishes to finalize a transaction with a web merchant; Col. 3, Para. 0041.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the term "electronic wallet".

Paltenghe teaches that an ""electronic wallet" is a virtual container for the various information and financial application a user might want to be mobile. Although not required, one example of an implementation of the "wallet" is through the use of "smart card" technology of the type well known to those of ordinary skill in the art" Col.3, Para.

Art Unit: 3621

27. As taught by Paltenghe, the terminology "electronic wallet" is well known in the art and not to be considered as a unique or original limitation in associated claims. The examiner considers the Applicants use of the term "electronic wallet" to mean a virtual container of information resident on a user's computer to provide and receive information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to associate "electronic wallet" with blocks of data that could be transmitted and received for conducting business.

means for presenting a first electronic wallet associated with the web merchant; Col.4, Para. 0047

means for automatically receiving in the first electronic wallet updated consumer information from a second electronic wallet associated with the Internet user. Col.5, Para. 0061.

As per Claim 26.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the first electronic wallet resides on a web server associated with the merchant. Col. 2, Para. 0025.

As per Claim 27.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the second electronic wallet resides on a personal computer associated with the Internet user. Col. 2, Para. 0025.

Art Unit: 3621

As per Claim 28.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the second electronic wallet resides on the web server. Col. 2, Para. 0025.

As per Claim 29.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the web server is associated with a third party. Col. 2, Para. 0026.

As per Claim 30.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the second electronic wallet comprises an application residing on the personal computer. Col. 2, Para. 0025.

As per claim 31.

Mitra does not expressly teach where the first electronic wallet comprises an electronic wallet of a third party. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate the third parties electronic wallet any thing they wanted because such data does not alter how the electronic wallet functions and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 32.

Mitra does not expressly teach where the first electronic wallet comprises an electronic wallet of the merchant.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to designate the merchant's electronic wallet any thing they wanted because such data does not alter how the electronic wallet functions and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

As per Claim 33.

Mitra further discloses;

means for identifying the Internet user. Col. 2, Para. 0030.

As per Claim 34.

Mitra further discloses;

wherein the means for identifying the Internet user comprises means for accessing a cookie present in the personal computer. Col. 5, Para. 0060.

As per Claim 35.

Mitra further discloses;

Art Unit: 3621

wherein the means for identifying the Internet user comprises means for receiving a user name and password associated with the Internet user. Col. 6, Para. 0067.

As per Claim 36.

Mitra further discloses;

means for accessing stored consumer data associated with the Internet user previously provided by the Internet user. Col. 6, Para. 0067.

As per Claim 38.

Mitra further discloses;

means for populating the first electronic wallet with the stored consumer data. Col.7, Para. 0071.

As per claim 44.

Mitra further discloses;

means for communicating a request for payment from a financial payment engine. Col. 3, Para. 0041, 0042.

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 16-19, 40-43 ar rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitra and Paltenghe as applied to claims 1-15, 20-39 and 44 above, and further in view of Kawan US 5,796,832 [Kawan].

As per Claim 16.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the second electronic wallet comprises a personal digital assistant. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a personal digital assistant, which is a portable terminal, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 5967 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

As per claim 17:

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the second electronic wallet comprises a telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide

Art Unit: 3621

transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

As per Claim 18.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the telephone comprises a portable telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a portable telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

As per claim 19:

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the portable telephone comprises a cellular telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a cellular telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification

Art Unit: 3621

would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

As per Claim 40.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the second electronic wallet comprises a personal digital assistant. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a personal digital assistant, which is a portable terminal, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the second electronic wallet comprises a telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the

Art Unit: 3621

operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

# As per claim 41:

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the second electronic wallet comprises a telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

# As per Claim 42.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the telephone comprises a portable telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a portable telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently

Art Unit: 3621

repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the portable telephone comprises a cellular telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a cellular telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

## As per claim 43:

Mitra discloses the claimed invention except for the wherein the portable telephone comprises a cellular telephone. Kawan teaches that it is known to have a financial information and transaction system, which utilizes wireless communication in connection with portable terminals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a cellular telephone, as taught by Kawan, since Kawan states at Col. 1, lines 59-67 that such a modification would provide transaction and information terminals which can be conveniently repositioned by the operator as necessary to maximize availability and use of the financial services provided thereby.

Art Unit: 3621

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Greene whose telephone number is 703.306.5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. (8:00-5:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on 703.305.9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305-7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.3081113.

DLG

February 6, 2003

JAMES P. TRAMASLL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600